RICHARDS BAY CLEAN AIR ASSOCIATION

DUSTFALL MONITORING

JUNE 2007

Sampling period: 23 May – 22 June 2007

Monthly Progress Report

Contact Person:	Q. Hurt
Designation:	Managing Director

Project Leader:

H.J. Annegarn

Project Officers:

P. Maseloa M. Sikhosane T. Masethe J. Williams N. Lebogang P.Maseloa J. Smith Z. Mpina H. Nuwarinda

ANNEGARN ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH P.O. BOX 30981 BRAAMFONTEIN 2017

06 July 2007

AER 27.337M_RBCAA

1. METHODOLOGY

This project commenced operation in June 2006. Windblown settle-able dust (fall-out) is monitored using the American Society for Testing and Materials standard method for collection and analysis of dustfall (ASTM D1739). This method employs a simple device consisting of a cylindrical 5 L container half-filled with de-ionised water exposed for one calendar month $(30 \pm 3 \text{ days})$. The water is treated with an inorganic biocide to prevent algal growth in the buckets. The most common reagent used for this is a 5% copper sulphate solution (approximately 1 ml per 3 litres of water bucket).

The bucket stand comprises a ring that is raised above the rim of the bucket to prevent contamination from perching birds (Fig 1). The bucket holder is connected to a 2.1 m

galvanized steel pole, which is either directly attached to a fence post or can be attached to a galvanized steel base plate, which is buried to a depth of 500 mm. This allows for a variety of placement options for the fallout samplers. Exposed buckets, when returned to the AER laboratories, are rinsed with deionised water to remove residue from the sides of the bucket, and the bucket contents filtered through a coarse (>1 mm) filter to remove insects and other course organic detritus. The sample is then filtered through a pre-weighed paper filter to remove the insoluble fraction, or dust fallout. This residue and filter are dried, and gravimetrically analysed to determine the insoluble fraction (dust fallout).

1.1 Operational Aspects

The sampling period was from 23 May to 22 June 2007, and samples were exposed for 30 days. This period complies with the standard exposure period of 30 ± 3 days. One monitoring site namely Site 3 (Alton South West) recorded no data as the bucket and the stand were found missing. No other operational problems encountered during the month of June and a valid sample return of 92% was achieved.

Figure 1: Single bucket monitoring unit, showing sampling bucket with bird ring and security clamp



2. STANDARDS FOR DUST DEPOSITION

The Standards South Africa has published a new set of dustfall standards (SANS 1929:2005). These standards have been used to evaluate the level of dust deposition and are stated in Table 1 and Table 2 below.

2.1 Evaluation criteria for dust deposition

Dust deposition rates shall be expressed in units of mg/m²/day over a 30-days averaging period. Dust deposition is evaluated against a four-band scale as presented in Table 1. Target, action and alert thresholds indicated in and permissible frequency of exceedances are given in Table 2. Margins of tolerance are outline in Section 2.2 and allowances for exceptions in Section 2.3

1	2	3	4			
Band Number	Band Description Label	Dustfall rate (D) (mg/m²/day, 30-day average)	Comment			
1	Residential	D < 600	Permissible for residential and light commercial			
2	Industrial	600 < D < 1 200	Permissible for heavy commercial and industrial			
3	Action	1 200 < D < 2 400	Requires investigation and remediation if two sequential months lie in this band, or more than three occur in a year.			
4	Alert	2 400 < D	Immediate action and remediation required following the first incidence of dustfall rate being exceeded. Incident report to be submitted to relevant authority.			

Table 1: Four-band scale evaluation criteria for dust deposition (SANS 1929:2005).

Table 2.New dustfall standards, target, action and alert thresholds for dust
deposition (SANS 1929:2005).

Level	Dustfall Rate (mg/m²/day)	Permitted Frequency of Exceedances		
Target	300			
Action residential	600	Three within any year, no two sequential months.		
Action industrial	1 200	Three within any year not sequential months.		
Alert threshold	2 400	None. First exceedance requires remediation and compulsory report to authorities.		

2.2 Margin of tolerance

An enterprise may submit a request to the authorities to operate within band 3 (action band), as specified in Table 1, for a limited period, provided that this is essential in terms of the practical operation of the enterprise (for example the final removal of a tailings deposit) and provided that an appropriate control technology is applied for the duration. No margin of tolerance will be granted for operations that result in dustfall rates which fall within band 4 (alert band) as specified in Table 1.

2.3 Exceptions

Dustfalls that exceed the specified rates but that can be shown to be the result of some extreme weather or geological event shall be discounted for the purpose of enforcement and control. Such event might typically result in excessive dustfall rates across an entire metropolitan region, and not be localized to a particular operation. Natural seasonal variations, for example, the naturally windy months each year, will not be considered extreme events for this definition.

2.4 Application of standards

Dust deposition rates recorded at RBCAA are appraised according to the standards published by the Standards South Africa (SANS 1929:2005). Dustfall rates within the RESIDENTIAL and INDUSTRIAL ranges do not result in complaints from the public. ACTION and ALERT ranges, generally result in complaints from the public, and therefore considered to be action levels, at which sources of excessive dust must be investigated (if not known) and suitable mitigation measures instituted.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Single Buckets

All the monitoring sites recorded RESIDENTIAL threshold dustfall during the monitoring month of June. Site 4 (Harbour West) recorded the highest dustfall rate of 276 mg/m²/day, while Site 10 (Felixton Village) recorded the lowest rate of 71 mg/m²/day, all within that range. Trends of the dust fallout results per site are presented in Appendix A of this report.

4. Recommendations and Actions

During the monitoring month in review, all monitoring sites recorded dustfall rates that fell within the RESIDENTIAL threshold. The results were considered satisfactory as they will not result in community complaints or nuisance.

Regards

T. Mfenqa

For AER (Pty) Ltd

Report compiled by H. Nuwarinda

APPENDIX A

RBCAA DUST FALLOUT MONTHLY TIMEPLOTS 2006 – 2007



Figure 1: Graph showing results from all sites June 2007

RICHARDS BAY CLEAN AIR ASSOCIATION			Month: June 2007					
DUSTFALL MONITORING			Sampling period: 23 May– 22 June 2007					
SITE	SITE	FIL	TER	NETT MASS	No.	DUST FALLOUT		
DESCRIPTION	No.	CODE		(mg)	DAYS	(mg/m²/day)		
Brackenham	1	RBCAA (7/132	55	30	81		
SAPS Garage	2	RBCAA (7/133	52	30	76		
Alton South West	3	RBCAA (7/134	ND	ND	ND		
Harbour West	4	RBCAA (7/135	189	30	276		
CBD(Municipal office)	5	RBCAA (7/136	109	30	161		
Scorpio	6	RBCAA (7/137	144	30	210		
Alton fire station	7	RBCAA (7/138	112	30	164		
Nseleni Municipal office	8	RBCAA (7/139	146	30	215		
Empangeni police station	9	RBCAA (7/140	59	30	87		
Felixton Village	10	RBCAA ()7/141	49	30	71		
Arboretum	11	RBCAA (7/142	85	30	124		
Meerensee School	12	RBCAA (7/143	74	30	108		
COMMENTS: ND: No Data because the bucket and the stand were missing								





1200

1000

800

600

400

200 -2

0

g

JUN

JUL

271

SEP

86

AUG

■ JUNE2006-MAY 2007 DUSTFALL

180

OCT

83

NOV

DUST FALL (mg/m²/day)



4

JAN

FEB

MAR

JUNE 2007-MAY 2008 DUSTFALL

DEC



244

MAY

168

APR

RESIDENTIAL

















APPENDIX B

